

2020 NIH Chronic GvHD Consensus Project on Criteria for Clinical Trials

The 2020 Treatment of cGvHD Report

November 18–20, 2020





- Co-Chairs: Bruce Blazar, Hildegard Greinix
- Major contributors: Daniel Couriel; Zachariah DeFilipp, Paul Martin
- Members: Amin Alousi; Vijaya Bhatt; Nataliya Buxbaum; Ted Gooley; Jörg P. Halter; Lori Henderson; Geoffrey Hill; Aleksandr Lazaryan; Kelli Macdonald; Attilio Olivieri; Donna Prezepiorka; Drazen Pulanic; Robert Zeiser

Financial Disclosures



Bruce R. Blazar

 Advisor (Magenta Therapeutics, BlueRock Therapeutics); research funding (BlueRock Therapeutics, Rheos Medicines, Childrens' Cancer Research Fund, KidsFirst Fund); co-founder of Tmunity

Daniel Couriel

• Consultant (Fresenius Inc); research support (Fresenius Inc); non-promotional speaker (Seattle Genetics)

Zachariah DeFilipp

• Consultant (Syndax Pharmaceuticals, Inc); research support (Incyte, Corp., Regimmune Corp.)

Hildegard Greinix

• Consultant (Novartis, Sanofi, Therakos); non-promotional speaker (Amgen, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi, Therakos)

Progress in Treatment of cGvHD NIH

- Large, multicenter phase II and phase III clinical trials in upfront or steroid-refractory cGvHD completed:
 - Ibrutinib (NCT02195869, NCT02959944)
 - Ruxolitinib (NCT03112603)
 - Itacitinib (NCT03584516)
 - Belumosudil (KD025) (NCT03640481)
- FDA approval of Ibrutinib for treatment of cGvHD after failure of <u>></u>1 lines of systemic therapy.
- FDA evaluations of other agents ongoing.





- First-line therapy of cGvHD has remained corticosteroids.
- Expanded treatment options but persistent chronicity of disease:
 - Long treatment duration with associated serious side-effects.
 - Multiple lines of empirically selected therapies to be given.
 - Progression into irreversible fibrotic manifestations observed.
 - Persistence of immune dysfunction with serious infectious complications for prolonged periods of time.
- No uniform improvement of long-term outcome of patients with cGvHD yet to be seen.

Purpose of this Document



- Setting research priorities and direction in treatment of cGvHD by considering:
 - Pathophysiologic mechanisms of disease
 - Clinical phenotype (inflammatory, fibrotic, and combinations; immune dysfunction)
 - Mechanisms of action of novel agents
- Gaining more and important insights into pathophysiologic mechanisms of cGvHD and clinically investigated novel agents by predefined biosampling and biomarker analysis during future clinical studies.
- Working towards a more biological and individualized strategy for treatment of cGvHD instead of empirical steroid-based approach.





Instead of STEROIDS FIT ALL



- Improved insights into biology of cGvHD
- Availability of targeted therapies relevant to pathophysiology of cGvHD

Administration of the **RIGHT DRUG TO THE RIGHT PATIENT AT THE RIGHT TIME**

Biological Considerations



Biological phenotype

- Inflammatory
- Fibrotic/sclerotic
- Immune dysfunction

Treatment goals of cGvHD

- Reduction of activation status of B-and T cells
- Anti-inflammatory effect
- Prevention/reduction of fibrosis
- Repairing/maintaining immune regulation

Known targets/signaling pathways from (pre)clinical studies relevant for pathophysiology of cGvHD

Miriad of Potential Treatment Agents for cGvHD





Saidu NE et al. Front Immunol 2020







Analyses of Immune Response in cGvHD Pathogenesis and Therapeutic Targets



	Tier 1 (Core testing)*	Tier 2 (Specialized testing, upfront centralized storage)**
PB cellular specimens	 Multiparameter FACS: T cell and B cell differentiation Dendritic cells, monocytes, macrophages Molecules involved in cell migration, positive and negative regulation and cytokine receptors 	 Multiparameter CyTOF Intracellular signaling and transcription factor expression High throughput technologies including single cell RNA-sequencing with ATAC-sequencing: chromatin accessibility
Tissue biopsies	Immunohistochemistry	Multiplexed immunofluorescenceSpatial relationships of cells e.g. CODEX
Serum and plasma	ELISA for biomarkers	Mass spectrometryProteins or metabolites

*Tests readily available at many study sites, not cost prohibitive. **Tests that require special equipment, sophisticated analytics, complex sample processing or incur high cost.

First-Line Therapy of cGvHD: Steroid-Free



Advantages

- Test the biological and clinical effects of study drug in absence of confounding by other concurrent treatment.
- Ability to assess true impact of study drug on pathophysiological pathways of cGvHD in an individual patient.
- Avoid steroid toxicities.

Risks

- Rapid progression of cGvHD under study drug health risk for patient
- Toxicities and side effects of study drug
- Lack of efficacy

Clinical Trials for Upfront Treatment of cGvHD

ΑΤΙΟΝΑΙ



Eligibility criteria

- · Broad eligibility to allow assessment of responses in multiple phenotypes
- No corticosteroid treatment

Study endpoints

- **Primary:** safety and feasibility; clinical need for steroid treatment = treatment failure
- Relevant secondary: ORR*, organ-specific response rate* and response acccording to clinical phenotype after 3 and 6 months; ORR at 1 year; long-term outcome including OS; correlate biological endpoints

Steroid-Free First-Line Therapy of cGvHD: Safety Considerations



• Excellent study center infrastructure required

- Patient access to OPD on 7/24 basis
- Continuous patient care
- Ability to perform Tier 1 and Tier 2 studies
- Clinical phase 1 experience
- Clinical need for corticosteroid therapy during study = treatment failure
 - Corticosteroid indications
 - Precise documentation of steroid use (dose, duration, time of onset)
 - Stopping rules for phase 1 study

Beyond First-Line Therapy of cGvHD NIH

Corticosteroid considerations

- Steroid-refractory* and treatment-refractory cGvHD
- Ongoing steroid therapy at time of study enrollment

Disease considerations

- · Heterogeneity in disease manifestations and treatment history
- Presence of inflammatory vs fibrotic manifestations
- Multiple concurrent features of cGvHD
- Persistent and severe immune dysfunction

Study Goals

- Broaden understanding of interactions between clinical disease, therapeutic response and disease biology
- Explore **combinations of novel targeted agents** with non-overlapping toxicities to increase efficacy and safety

*according to 2014 NIH Clinical Trial Design WG Report Martin PJ et al 2015

Clinical Trials for Salvage Treatment of cGvHD





Eligibility criteria

- Subjects with shorter disease duration
- All phenotypes and defined manifestations
- Limitations based on steroid exposure (duration, dose, etc)

Study endpoints

- Primary: ORR* at 3 and 6 months
- Relevant secondary: organ-specific response rate* and response acccording to clinical phenotype after 3 and 6 months; ORR at 1 year; disability and QoL; OS; correlate biological endpoints *according to NIH Response criteria Lee SJ 2015

Future Directions: Targeted Therapies Based on Biology and Clinical Phenotype Response



Small and medium sized clinical trials

- Upfront systemic treatment
- Treatment-refractory at early time points



 Comparison with steroids, specific agents or best available therapy



Better understanding of associations between:

- Clinical phenotype
- Pathophysiologic mechanisms of disease
- Biological and clinical effect of novel agents

Alternative study design to

- Consolidate data in different phenotypes
- Investigate more selectively biomarkers
- Perform extension trial

Summary of Recommendations: Upfront Therapy



 Investigate novel agents for initial systemic therapy in designs without concurrent corticosteroid administration in experienced academic medical centers.

 Evaluate novel agents in correlation with predefined biosampling and biomarker analysis to obtain important insights into pathophysiologic mechanisms of new onset cGvHD and its response to targeted therapies.

Summary of Recommendations: Beyond First-Line Therapy



- Timely initiation of targeted therapies with response assessment according to clinical phenotype and biological study results.
- Drug choice based on preclinical data, mechanism of action, clinical data (cGvHD, autoimmunity and organ transplantation) or correlative biological studies whenever possible.
- Evaluate broad panels in biological correlative studies to inform selection of a narrower panel for subsequent studies.
- Obtain tissue biopsies before and after treatment to enable evaluation of disease involvement, response to therapy and correlation with blood/marrow biomarkers.
- Build an advanced cooperation between academic medical centers, medical societies and industry to support biology-based strategic approach.

Summary of Recommendations III



- Conduct randomized clinical trials with agents demonstrating disease activity in large single arm studies.
- Explore master protocols to enable rapid clinical screening of new treatments in early-phase studies.
- Future goal: work towards algorithms for personalized approach to treatment based on clinical phenotype and biological profile of each patient.

Issues for Discussion



Upfront therapy

• Steroid-free study design: patient recruitment, safety, stopping rules

Salvage therapy

 Assessment of mechanisms of action of novel agents when used in combination therapy including steroids

Biological sampling

• Choice, logistics, funding, qualified infrastructure, governance

Future directions of clinical trials

- Confirmation of promising initial results in randomized studies:
 - ? comparator arm/standard of care
- Alternative study designs for targeted therapies based on biology and clinical phenotype response



Reviewer

NIH) NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE Panel Q and A





Audience Discussion

Steroid-Free First-Line Therapy of cGvHD: Clinical Endpoints for Clinical Studies



• Primary endpoint of phase I study

- Safety and feasibility
- Stopping rules for futility and unacceptable toxicity required
- Clinical need for steroid treatment = treatment failure

Secondary endpoints

- ORR* at 3 and 6 months
- Organ-specific response rate* after 3 and 6 months
- Response acccording to clinical phenotype after 3 and 6 months
- Biomarker results in correlation with clinical phenotype
- Biomarker results in correlation with response
- ORR at 1 year
- OS at 1 year

Beyond First-Line Therapy of cGvHD: Clinical Endpoints



• Efficacy

- ORR* after 3 and 6 months of therapy
- Organ-specific response rate* after 3 and 6 months
- Response acccording to clinical phenotype after 3 and 6 months
- Biomarker results in correlation with clinical phenotype
- Biomarker results in correlation with response
- Steroid-sparing (magnitude, timing and durability of steroid dose reduction)
- Discontinuation of steroids and durability
- Patient-reported outcomes
- ORR at 1 year durability of response
- Discontinuation of study agent and durability
- OS at 1 year

Safety

- Treatment-emergent adverse events
- Defined stopping rules